


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 DAVID UPTON, ) CASE NO. ED CV 10-631-AB (PJW)  
11 Plaintiff, )  
12 v. ) ORDER ACCEPTING FINAL REPORT AND  
13 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al., ) ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS,  
14 Defendants. ) AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED  
15 STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed Plaintiff's  
17 motion for partial summary judgment, Defendant's motion for summary  
18 judgment, the records on file, and the Final Report and  
19 Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. The Court has  
20 engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which  
21 Plaintiff objected. The Court accepts the findings and  
22 recommendations of the Magistrate Judge and adopts them as its own  
23 findings and conclusions.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for partial  
2 summary judgment is denied, Defendant's motion for summary judgment  
3 is granted, and the case is dismissed with prejudice.

4  
5 DATED: October 30, 2017.

6  
7 

8 ANDRE BIROTTE, JR.  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28